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Late Talking and the Risk for Psychosocial Problems During Childhood and Adolescence

OBJECTIVE: Although many toddlers with expressive vocabulary delay ("late talkers") present with age-appropriate language skills by the time they are of school age, little is known about their broader behavioral and emotional profile. The aim of this study was to determine whether late talkers are at increased risk for behavioral and emotional problems during childhood and adolescence.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Participants were from the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort Study. Early expressive vocabulary was measured by parent report at age 2 years using the Language Development Survey. Late talkers were defined as toddlers who scored at or below the 15th percentile on the Language Development Survey for their gender but were screened not to have any other developmental delays. The Child Behavior Checklist was used to measure problem child behavior with continuous $z$ scores and clinical thresholds at ages 2, 5, 8, 10, 14, and 17 years. Potential confounders included maternal and family sociodemographic characteristics as well as prenatal smoking and alcohol exposure.

RESULTS: At age 2 years, late talkers ($n = 142$) had higher Child Behavior Checklist scores (representing poorer behavior) than control toddlers ($n = 1245$) in total, internalizing, and externalizing scales and higher risk for clinically significant internalizing and externalizing problems. Regression models, incorporating the confounding variables, revealed no association between late-talking status at age 2 years and behavioral and emotional problems at the 5-, 8-, 10-, 14-, and 17-year follow-ups.

CONCLUSIONS: Expressive vocabulary delay at the age of 2 years is not in itself a risk factor for later behavioral and emotional disturbances. *Pediatrics* 2011;128:e000

WHAT’S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: School-age language impairment is associated with behavioral and emotional problems. However, it remains unknown whether toddlers who are late to start talking ("late talkers"), many of whom resolve their language difficulties, are at greater risk for psychosocial problems.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Using a large longitudinal cohort, we found that late talkers have mild levels of behavioral and emotional problems at the age of 2 years but are at no greater risk for these difficulties during childhood or adolescence.
There is considerable variation in early language development, with some children beginning to talk much later than others. Previous studies have benchmarked 24 months as the age at which children with an expressive vocabulary delay, or “late talkers,” can be ascertained reliably. The prevalence of late talkers, defined as children who demonstrate limited expressive vocabulary in the face of otherwise typical development, ranges from 7% to 18% dependent on the vocabulary threshold used. Although these difficulties may persist to the school-aged years, often resulting in a diagnosis of specific language impairment, ~70% to 80% of late talkers are able to compensate for this initial delay and present with age-appropriate language skills by the time they enter school. Given the variability in the emergence of language and its poor predictive utility for onward management, a “wait-and-see” strategy often is adopted with respect to initiating speech and language intervention.

Cross-sectional and prospective studies have provided support for a relationship between school-aged language impairment and the risk for a number of behavioral and emotional disturbances, including attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and internalizing problems. Although investigations of children with less severe language problems, such as late-talking toddlers, have revealed less consistent findings, there is evidence that expressive vocabulary delay at age 2 years is associated with broader developmental difficulties, such as increased levels of shyness, fearfulness, and disruptive behaviors. It remains unknown as to whether this (in most cases) transient language delay increases the risk for emotional and behavioral disturbances in later childhood or adolescence.

Obtaining an understanding of the longer-term neurodevelopmental profile of late talkers has both theoretical and practical implications. If late talkers are susceptible to behavioral and emotional disturbances in later life, irrespective of any improvement in language ability, it may suggest that expressive vocabulary delay and behavioral and emotional problems share a common (genetic or neurobiological) causal factor, a theory for which there is currently little support. Furthermore, although the emerging empirical evidence suggests that later-talker status at age 2 years has poor predictive efficiency for later language impairment, later-talker status may provide an important opportunity for intervention efforts to prevent the onset of later psychiatric difficulties. On the other hand, if late talkers are not at increased risk for behavioral and emotional disturbances at later time points, this would suggest that any difficult behaviors observed at age 2 years may be psychosocial consequences of the social adversity associated with limited communicative skills and that these resolve as their language skills achieve age-appropriate levels. Such a finding may provide support for a wait-and-see approach in public health systems with finite resources.

The current study provides the first prospective investigation of the behavioral and emotional development of late talkers up to the age of 17 years, using a well-defined population-based cohort of children in Perth, Western Australia.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Cohort

Participants were part of the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study, which is a longitudinal study of pregnant women consecutively recruited from the public antenatal clinic at King Edward Memorial Hospital or surrounding private clinics in Perth (Australia) between May 1989 and November 1991 (n = 2900). The inclusion criteria were a gestational age between 16 and 20 weeks, English-language skills sufficient to understand the study demands, an expectation to deliver at King Edward Memorial Hospital, and an intention to remain in Western Australia to enable future follow-up of their child. Full details of the enrollment methods are included in Newnham et al. From 2900 pregnancies recruited into the Raine Study, 2868 children were available for follow-up at birth. Participant recruitment and all follow-ups of the study families were approved by the human ethics committee at King Edward Memorial Hospital and/or Princess Margaret Hospital for Children in Perth. Parents provided written informed consent to participate at each follow-up. Children were reconsented at the 17-year follow-up for the use of these stored data.

Toddler Language

At the 2-year follow-up (mean age: 26 months) caregivers of 1623 children completed the Language Development Survey (LDS), a parent-reported measure of expressive vocabulary of children between the ages of 18 and 33 months. The LDS listed 310 words arranged into 14 semantic categories (eg, food, animals, people, and vehicles). Parents were asked to circle each word the child uses spontaneously, allowing for minor errors in pronunciation. The LDS had high test-retest reliability (0.97–0.99) and high Cronbach’s α internal consistency (0.99), and strongly correlates with measures of direct child language assessment, including the Reynell Receptive and Expressive Language Scales.
the Mullen Scale of Early Language, and naturalistic language samples.13,22

Childhood Behavioral and Emotional Problems

The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), an empirically validated measure of child behavior by parent report, was used to measure child and adolescent behavior. The CBCL for ages 2 to 3 years (CBCL/2–3)22 was used at the 2-year follow-up and the CBCL for ages 4 to 18 years (CBCL/4–18)23 was administered at the 5-, 8-, 10-, 14-, and 17-year follow-ups. These measures contain a list of behavioral and emotional problem items (CBCL/2–3: n = 99; CBCL/4–18: n = 118) that parents rated as not true (0), somewhat or sometimes true (1), or very or often true (2) of their children. Both measures are widely used in the research literature and show good internal reliability and validity in a number of population settings.24 A clinical calibration with Australian children demonstrated moderately high sensitivity (83% overall) and specificity (67% overall) to a clinical diagnosis and good test-retest reliability.25 The 3-year predictive validity of the CBCL/2–3 for CBCL/4–18 outcomes across both genders is r = 0.49, indicating moderate predictive power (Achenbach et al23).

Both the CBCL/2–3 and CBCL/4–18 produced a raw score that was transformed into 3 summary z scores for (1) total behavior, (2) internalizing behavior, and (3) externalizing behavior. The z scores for total, internalizing, and externalizing behavior were used as continuous scores in this study, with higher scores reflecting more disturbed emotions and behaviors. The raw scores produced by the CBCL also were converted into T scores (standardized by age and gender) for total, internalizing, and externalizing behavior. The recommended clinical cutoff score (T ≥ 60) was applied to the CBCL T scores to obtain 3 binary variables indicative of clinically significant total, internalizing, and externalizing problems.24 By the term “clinically significant,” we are referring to maladaptive behavior that falls within a defined clinical range for behavioral problems.24

Predictor Variable

Late-talking status was defined on the basis of LDS scores. Using the criterion recommended by Rescorla and Achenbach,14 children with an LDS score at or below the 15th percentile for their age and gender were identified as having an expressive vocabulary delay (late talkers). To ensure that the language delay was not caused by a general developmental delay, we excluded from the investigation children who were reported by their parents and caregivers to not have achieved any of the 4 developmental milestones shown in the Supplementary Table 5 at age 2 years (assessed using the Infant Monitoring Questionnaire26). Children with hearing problems, who spoke a language other than English at home or who had received a diagnosis of a developmental or intellectual disability up to the 17-year follow-up, also were excluded from the investigation. On the basis of these criteria, there were 143 children in the late-talking group and 1280 children in the typical language group.

Covariates

A range of covariates known to have an effect on language development1 as well as behavioral and emotional outcomes27 also were considered. These variables included maternal sociodemographic information measured at 18 weeks’ gestation, such as maternal age, maternal education, family income, and the presence of the biological father in the family home. The maternal experience of stressful events in pregnancy was measured at 18 and 34 weeks’ gestation and were added together to produce a continuous variable representing the total number of events experienced.27 Maternal smoking and alcohol intake at 18 weeks’ gestation also were included in the analyses.

Sample Attrition

Previous analysis of the Raine Study cohort found that young mothers, single mothers, and those who experienced high levels of stress were less likely to remain in the study as follow-ups progressed.28

Statistical Analyses

The current study aimed to compare the behavioral and emotional development of late-talking and typically developing children. First, we investigated CBCL z scores at the 2-year follow-up, which was when late-talking status was determined. Two analyses were conducted investigating between-group differences in continuous CBCL z scores and the proportion of children reaching the clinical cutoff score. We then investigated the longer-term behavioral and emotional development of these children, measured at the 5-, 8-, 10-, 14-, and 17-year follow-ups. A linear regression model with a random intercept (random-effects model) was used to examine the ability of our predictor variable (late-talking status) to effect changes on the continuous CBCL z scores, and generalized estimating equations (a random-effect logistic regression model) were used to assess whether such changes in score reflected clinically meaningful differences in child behavioral problems. We used an unstructured working correlation matrix specification, which provided the best goodness of fit. For both models, the predictor variable was added first, followed by the inclusion of all the control variables (maternal age and education, maternal experience of...
stress events, total family income, alcohol and smoking intake during pregnancy, presence of the biological father in the family home, proportion of optimal birth weight, Apgar scores 5 minutes after birth, and parity). Two-way interaction effects were tested between the predictor variable and control variables, but there were no significant results; therefore, interactions were not included in either model.

RESULTS

Frequency characteristics for the predictor, outcome, and control variables are presented in Table 1. The proportion of late talkers in the current sample was 9.9% (n = 143). These children, who formed the late-talking group, were more likely to come from families with a household income below the level of qualification for government benefits (which was AUD$24,000 at the time of the participants’ recruitment) and have 1 or more siblings at the time of birth compared with the remainder of the sample (typical language group).

Figure 1 presents the mean z scores for the 3 CBCL scales across the different time points, and Table 2 presents the proportion of children who scored above the clinical cutoff for CBCL morbidity. At the 2-year follow-up, independent-samples t tests found that late-talking children (n = 142) scored higher than control children (n = 1245) on the total difficulties (mean: 0.2; SD: 1.02 [late talkers] and mean: 0.01; SD: 0.94 [control children]; P < .01), and the externalizing difficulties (mean: 0.19; SD: 1.00 [late talkers] and mean: 0.01; SD: 0.95 [control children]; P = .04) subscales. At this same age, χ² analyses found that proportionately more late-talking children scored above the morbidity cutoff on the internalizing subscale (P = .04), whereas there was a trend in the same direction for the externalizing subscale (P = .05).

We then investigated CBCL scores measured at the 5-, 8-, 10-, 14-, and 17-year follow-ups. CBCL z scores of late-talking children were compared with children with typical language in a linear regression model with a random intercept (Table 3). After adjusting for confounding variables, there was no significant effect of late talking on
CBCL z scores for the total behavior ($P = .34$), internalizing behavior ($P = .98$), and externalizing behavior ($P = .65$) scales. Likewise, a generalized estimating equation model that adjusted for confounding variables (Table 4) revealed no between-groups difference in the proportion of children exceeding the clinical cutoff on the total behavior ($P = .5$), internalizing behavior ($P = .74$), and externalizing behavior ($P = .78$) scales.

**DISCUSSION**

The current study reports the first investigation of the long-term impact of isolated expressive vocabulary delay at the age of 2 years on behavioral and emotional functioning during childhood and adolescence in a community-based sample of children. At age 2 years, late-talking children were more likely to have clinically significant internalizing and externalizing difficulties. However, at 5 subsequent follow-up assessments to the age of 17 years, there was no difference between the late-talking and control groups on CBCL scores when examined as a continuous variable or when incorporating a cutoff for clinical levels of difficulty. Expressive vocabulary delay at age 2 years is not in itself a risk factor for later behavioral and emotional disturbances in childhood and adolescence.

Previous investigations of the behavioral and emotional profile of late-talking 2-year-old children have revealed mixed findings. In general, studies of samples recruited from health clinics have reported increased levels of shyness, fearfulness, and disruptive behaviors among late talkers, whereas investigations of samples recruited from the general population have identified no difference in the behavioral or emotional development of late talkers relative to typically developing toddlers. This pattern of findings may reflect an ascertainment bias of clinically recruited samples, in which late talkers with comorbid behavioral problems are more likely to receive a clinical referral as a result of the conspicuous nature of these disturbances. It is intriguing that the findings from the current study of increased levels of externalizing and internalizing difficulties among 2-year-old children with expressive vocabulary delay contradict the null findings from studies of other community-based samples. One potential explanation for the discrepancy in findings may be the larger sample size provided by the Raine Study cohort (late talkers: $n = 143$; control toddlers: $n = 1280$) relative to the studies of Horwitz.
et al.12 (late talkers: n = 47 at age 24–29 months; control toddlers: n = 269), Rescorla and Alley13 (n = 41; control toddlers: n = 381), and Rescorla and Achenbach14 (late talkers: n = 25; control toddlers: n = 147). For example, 6 of 25 late talkers in the study by Rescorla and Achenbach14 exceeded the criterion for clinical levels of behavioral difficulties on the CBCL, compared with 27 of 120 typically developing toddlers. The effect size for this difference, 0.05 (Cramer’s $\phi$), is comparable with those for the between-group differences in children exceeding the clinical cutoff on the internalizing (0.06) and externalizing (0.05) scales in the current study (Table 2). The increased statistical power generated by the substantially larger sample size increased the chances of the current study, identifying a statistically significant effect.

The findings from our longitudinal study also may provide insights into the etiology of the widely observed relationship between language impairment and psychiatric difficulties.7–11 Two prevailing hypotheses for this association are that behavioral and emotional disturbances arise as a consequence of social difficulties experi-
ence by those with language delay or that these problems share a common (genetic or neurobiological) causal factor. In the current study, it is pertinent that the only age at which the late-talking group was reported to show increased levels of internalizing and externalizing difficulties (age 2 years) also was the age at which the language status of the cohort was determined. There is now a large quantity of empirical evidence that the majority (between 70% and 80%) of 2-year-old children with isolated expressive vocabulary delay present with age-appropriate skills at age 4 to 5 years.\textsuperscript{31} Although this developmental pattern cannot be definitively confirmed in the current study, the initial recruitment procedure for the Raine Study (consecutively enrolling pregnant women presenting to community-based antenatal) is known to have established an original cohort that is highly representative of the general population;\textsuperscript{20} and therefore we may expect similar levels of language “recovery” to have occurred in the current sample. In support of this view, it is notable that the Raine Study cohort has been found to be representative of the broader Australian population in terms of motor competence,\textsuperscript{32} behavioral development,\textsuperscript{27} and educational outcomes.\textsuperscript{35} The findings from the current study seem to support a causal pathway in which the behavioral and emotional problems identified at age 2 years are attributed to the psychosocial difficulties (eg, frustration) of not being able to communicate effectively\textsuperscript{34} and that these problematic behaviors are ameliorated as language skills improve with age. Additional evidence for this position comes from the laboratory observations of Caulfield et al,\textsuperscript{15} who found no behavioral differences between late talkers and typically developing control children when they participated in a simple pointing task (which both groups were able to complete) but significantly more tantrums from the late-talking children when they participated in a naming task (which was difficult for them but not for the typical language group). Other population-based cohorts with data on both language and behavioral and emotional development throughout childhood and adolescence\textsuperscript{6} will provide a comprehensive test of this hypothesis.

The prospective study design and large community sample were clear strengths of the current study, generating adequate statistical power to investigate the relationship between early language development and later behavioral and emotional functioning. Additional strengths of the study design were the ability to control for potentially confounding variables on this association, as well as the use of the same assessment of behavioral and emotional functioning at 6 different time points to the age of 17 years, both of which limited measurement error. However, the Raine Study did not include a comprehensive measure of receptive language ability at age 2 years, and therefore we were unable to determine whether the delay in the late-talking group was limited to expressive language development. Furthermore, it is possible that sample attrition may have affected the current results. Behavioral and emotional disturbances are known to be particularly prevalent among socially disadvantaged groups,\textsuperscript{35} and sample attrition among the Raine Study cohort has been more common among these families.\textsuperscript{28} However, a recent article from a similar cohort\textsuperscript{36} found that although attrition in longitudinal cohort studies is likely to be nonrandom, this attrition did not invalidate regression models used to predict behavioral disorders. Although we would expect the selective attrition of children with behavioral and emotional problems to affect both groups, it is possible this had greater influence on the late-talking group, given that these children were more likely to be from socially disadvantaged families.

The current study identified late talkers using the gold-standard technique of parent-report of expressive vocabulary at age 2 years. However, this methodology did not allow us to identify children with more specific language phenotypes, such as those with social communication difficulties, who may be more prone to persisting behavioral and emotional difficulties.\textsuperscript{37} Future studies that include a more fine-grained analysis of language abilities at age 2 years will build on the research reported in the current study.

CONCLUSIONS

Using a large, population-based cohort, the current study found that children with expressive language delay at age 2 years are at no more risk for behavioral and emotional problems during childhood and adolescence than typically developing children. Although these findings support a wait-and-see approach to behavioral and speech and language intervention among late talkers with otherwise normal development, it is important to highlight the considerable evidence linking persisting language impairment and psychiatric difficulties.\textsuperscript{38} Clinicians need to be cognizant of the broader implications of poor language development to promote better outcomes for these children.
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